## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA SPARTANBURG DIVISION

Quintin M. Littlejohn,	)
Plaintiff,	) C. A. No. 7:10-cv-01953-JMC
V.	) ORDER AND OPINION )
Shady Grove Baptist Church,	) )
Thickety Mountain Auxiliaries,	) )
Defendants.	)

This matter is now before the court upon the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. # 9], filed on August 2, 2010, recommending Plaintiff Quintin M. Littlejohn's Complaint [Doc.# 1] be summarily dismissed without prejudice and without service of process. The Report and Recommendation sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter, and the court incorporates the Magistrate Judge's recommendation without a recitation.

## STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

7:10-cv-01953-JMC Date Filed 01/04/11 Entry Number 17 Page 2 of 2

**DISCUSSION** 

Plaintiff, a pro se litigant, alleges that Defendants have committed fraud, deceit, fornication,

and adultery. Plaintiff also contends that Defendants have violated Canon Law and that Defendants

"swing" and engage in obscene behavior.

Plaintiff timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections

constitutes a waiver of a party's right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the

recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94

& n.4 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that the majority of Plaintiff's objections are non-specific,

unrelated to the dispositive portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, or

merely restate his claims.

Therefore, after a thorough review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation

and the record in this case, the court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation and

incorporates it herein.

It is therefore **Ordered** that Plaintiff's Complaint [Doc. # 1] is **DISMISSED** without

prejudice and without service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ J. Michelle Childs

United States District Judge

January 4, 2011

Greenville, South Carolina

2